Use Case: Managed Lanes Benefit-Cost Analysis

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Strategy Description

This document serves as a use case for conducting Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) for a hypothetical managed lanes project. Managed lanes are highway facilities – or a set of lanes – where operational strategies are proactively implemented and managed in response to changing conditions (Source: FHWA Managed Lanes). Specific deployments and applications of managed lanes vary by agency and project. For the purposes of this use case, it is assumed that an agency is investigating the deployment of a managed lane strategy that includes hard shoulder running (HSR) with cantilever sign structures, dynamic lane assignment (DLA) with overhead gantries, variable speed limits (VSL), queue warning (QW) through the use of small dynamic message signs (DMS) co-located on DLA sign gantries, system software, and minor Transportation Management Center (TMC) upgrades. It is assumed that existing fiber is located along the corridor. The use case assumes a 10-mile deployment corridor (both directions) along an urban freeway with existing safety and mobility concerns.

This use case is for a hypothetical managed lanes project. Users should apply their own site-specific data to determine benefit-cost analysis (BCA) for their specific project.

Methodology

This use case applies the methodology from A Guide for Leveraging ITS Deployment Evaluation Tools for Benefit-Cost Analysis. The methodology is depicted in the graphic below.

Figure 1. Benefit-Cost Analysis Methodology
Applying the Methodology

The following steps provide an overview of the methodology conducted for the benefit-cost analysis.

**Step 1: Define BCA Framework**

The first step in the process is to establish the framework for the study. The following information was defined prior to beginning the analysis:

- **Scope of the Project.** The use case includes a managed lanes project for a 10-mile corridor in both directions for an urban interstate. Strategies being considered include HSR with cantilever sign structures, DLA with overhead gantries, VSL, QW through the use of small DMS co-located on DLA sign gantries, system software, and minor TMC upgrades.

- **Goals and Objectives for the Project.** The goal of this project is to reduce congestion and crashes. For the proposed 10-mile corridor, congestion is present in both directions throughout the day. Crashes are also prominent along this corridor. Finally, the corridor is located in a non-attainment area—an area considered to have air quality worse than the National Ambient Air Quality Standards as defined in the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1970 (P.L. 91-604, Sec. 109).

- **Time Period for Analysis.** A timeframe of 10 years was used for the analysis. This timeframe is based on the expected lifetime of a managed lane system. ITS projects typically have a shorter timeframe (7-15 years) than highway construction projects given the need to replace equipment. **Note:** Projects involving the initial construction of highways typically use an analysis period of 30 years.

- **Evaluation Baseline Comparison.** A “no-build alternative” served as the baseline used to measure the incremental benefits and costs of the proposed project.

A framework for project costs and benefits was also established. The framework identifies the types of project costs and benefits that will be assessed:

- **Types of Project Costs.** The types of potential project costs include planning and engineering costs, direct capital costs (i.e., costs for infrastructure, software, etc.), integration costs, operations and maintenance costs, and future lifecycle costs.

- **Types of Expected Benefits.** The ITS project aligns with agency goals to improve safety, enhance mobility, and reduce transportation impacts on the environment. Types of benefits expected from this project include:
  - **Safety.** Estimated reduction of crashes based managed lanes similar to the proposed implementation and current crash data that an agency might have available.
  - **Mobility.** Estimated reduction of travel time along the corridor based on similar implementations that have been studied and corridor specific data.
  - **Energy and Environment.** Estimated reduction of emissions and fuel consumption realized because of the reduction of travel time.
Resources guiding the benefit-cost analysis were identified through readily available sources.

Research Resources

The ITS Deployment Evaluation Databases – Benefits Database (see Figure 2) includes research resources documenting benefits for managed lanes. In addition, data is available from trusted and verified resources to support analysis of both, benefits and costs. Resources are cited within the following analysis and provided as references at the end of the example.

Data Resources

There are various types of site-specific data for the corridor – such as travel delay, traffic volumes, and crash data – that can be used as inputs in determining the benefits of managed lanes. Site-specific data used for the managed lanes use case include:

- Crash data obtained from a statewide database for a period of 3 years categorized by severity and analyzed using a yearly average (property damage only (PDO), injury, and fatality).
- Travel time data from Regional Integrated Transportation Information System (RITIS), identified by AM and PM hours. RITIS is a tool developed and managed by the University of Maryland's Center for Advanced Transportation Technology (CATT) Laboratory.

Note: To analyze costs and benefits, it is necessary to have costs and monetized benefits on a common unit basis. The BCA should be conducted in real dollars using a specified base year. Expenditures that occurred in prior years may need to be adjusted. If data collected in this step is obtained from studies conducted in earlier years, it may be required to adjust costs to current dollars by accounting for inflation. Inflation is the increase in prices for goods and services over time. If adjustments need to be made, practitioners should clearly define their methodologies for converting them to current dollars such as using the Inflation Factors provided by the Bureau of Economic Analysis or other inflationary factors like Consumer Price Index (CPI) and Producer Price Index (PPI).

Managed lanes are deployed to increase capacity along an existing facility by managing and optimizing traffic flow. The information identified in Step 2 is used to calculate the benefits for the ITS strategy being assessed. Benefits data obtained from the ITS Deployment Evaluation Benefits Database and site-specific data available on the corridor are used to estimate the safety, mobility, and energy and environmental benefits of the strategy. The managed lanes use case estimated benefits include:

- Safety. Estimated reduction of crashes.
- Mobility. Estimated reduction of travel time.
- Energy and Environment. Estimated reduction of emissions and fuel consumption related to reduction of travel time and associated greenhouse gases and reduction of idle time.

Annual benefits are calculated using data from Step 2. Details of the calculations and assumptions for this use case are included later in the document.
Step 4: Monetize Benefits

Estimating the monetary value of strategy deployment benefits provides the ability to analyze and compare benefits and costs. Using the estimated benefits from Step 3, the monetary value of the managed lanes use case can be estimated by applying state and national monetary values of the following:

- **Safety.** Value of preventing crashes by type (i.e., property damage only [PDO], injury, fatality). National, state, or local sources provide costs of crashes by relevant crash type.
- **Mobility.** Person-hour value of time categorized by personal and commercial vehicular travel time. Delay cost values were obtained from RITIS which uses values from the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI) that are based on the passenger value of time and commercial operating cost. Sources are referenced in the example below.
- **Energy and Environmental.** Cost of CO₂ emission reductions and fuel savings can be derived using data that estimates the amount of fuel burned when a vehicle is idling – and the amount of emissions associated with the fuel burned. To determine the monetary value of the benefits, costs of gasoline and costs of emissions from trusted and verified sources such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) can be applied to the energy and environmental costs.

The completion of this step results in monetized benefits for each applicable benefit area (i.e., safety, mobility, etc.). Monetized benefits are in current dollars.

Step 5: Estimate System Costs

ITS strategy costs can be estimated using a variety of resources depending on access to current agency construction bids, vendor quotes, and relevant information within the [ITS Deployment Evaluation Databases – Costs Database](#). The managed lane use case system capital, operations, and maintenance costs are estimated by system component:

- Software and TMC upgrades
- Hard Shoulder Running (HSR)
- Dynamic lane assignment (DLA) with Queue Warning and VSL on gantries
- Limited roadway improvements

State bids are referenced for the managed lane use case for non-recurring, capital component costs. Recurring, operations and maintenance component costs are estimated by calculating 10% of capital costs for the ITS strategy system components and 5% of the capital costs for roadway improvements – a general rule of thumb used by agencies when estimating maintenance costs.

**Note:** In many instances, cost data collected during Step 2 will be collected from a variety of sources and studies. These sources and studies are likely to include costs from different time periods. It is important to put these values into a common, apples-to-apples framework that adjusts for costs over time. All relevant costs should have a common temporal footing. This is done by converting past costs into a present value amount. For example, if costs are obtained for ITS equipment from a report in 2017, dollars should be adjusted for current dollars.
Step 6 uses the monetized results from Steps 4 and 5 to determine a Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) and Return on Investment (ROI) for the project. Costs and benefits were identified for each year of the time horizon to calculate the BCR and ROI.

ITS and Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSMO) projects incur a stream of expenditures and benefits over time. Initial capital costs may occur in the early project years with operations and maintenance (O&M) costs continuing over the project life. Benefits start accruing once the project is implemented and accrue over time (i.e., for the duration of the time horizon). The estimated monetized applicable benefits (e.g., safety, mobility, energy & environmental) are extrapolated over the 10-year time horizon. Likewise, the capital, operations, and maintenance costs are also estimated for the same time horizon.

All costs and benefits are stated in real dollars using a common base year. Cost elements that were expended in prior years were updated to the recommended base year. Any future year constant dollar costs were appropriately discounted to the baseline analysis year to allow for comparisons with other BCA elements. Costs and benefits for future years are adjusted for discounting over the time period. In accordance with OMB Circular A-94, a discount rate of 7% was applied to discount streams of benefits and costs to the present value in their BCA.

Once costs and benefits are calculated for the time-period, the benefit-cost analysis is reported as:

- **Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)**: $\sum \text{benefits} \div \sum \text{costs} : 1$
- **Return-on-Investment (ROI)**: $(\sum \text{benefits} - \sum \text{costs}) \div (\sum \text{costs}) \times 100$

It was assumed that capital investment will be maintained during the 10-year horizon, therefore capital replacement costs are not included.

Step 6 concludes with the calculation of the BCR and ROI. A BCR greater than 1:1 and a ROI greater than zero shows a positive return. The BCR was 4.3:1 and the ROI was 332%. Both the BCR and ROI show a positive return on investment for the proposed project. For comparative purposes, roadway construction projects that build new capacity typically have a BCR of 2:1.

**Note:** While the equation listed above is common for ROI, there are additional definitions/equations used. Net Present Value (NPV) is another metric that may be useful. To calculate NPV, all benefits and costs over an alternative’s lifecycle are discounted to the present, and the costs are subtracted from the benefits. If benefits exceed costs, NPV is positive and the project is considered economically sound.

### Step 7: Communicate the Results

Communicating the results of benefit-cost analysis provides an opportunity to demonstrate the value of ITS deployments in a tangible way. When communicating the results, the audience with whom the analysis results are being shared with should be considered to ensure that the information is relevant and relatable. An infographic was developed and included in the example that summarizes the key results for these audiences.

- **Decision Makers.** Decision makers are responsible for prioritizing projects and determining where funds are invested. This group may consider using BCR or ROI as a way to compare all transportation projects including, traditional roadway projects and ITS deployments. Demonstrating fiscal responsibility with BCR and ROI is a good way to communicate with this group. Results may help decision makers better assess and align ITS and TSMO projects with traditional roadway capacity improvement or multi-modal projects.

- **Operators.** Operators optimize the management of their systems and monitor performance metrics.
Communicating key performance indicators (KPI) such as crashes or hours of travel time reduced is relevant to how an operator will increase the efficiency of their system.

- **Public.** Communicating benefits in a way that is relatable and tangible to the public is critical to demonstrating the value and gaining support for ITS deployments. Sharing with the public how many additional hours a year they will be able to spend with family and friends or how much fuel they will save is a good way to communicate with this group.
Managed Lanes Benefit-Cost Analysis

This section documents the benefit-cost analysis for the example curve speed warning project. The numbers included in this example are hypothetical. Users should apply their own site-specific data to estimate BCR and ROI for their projects rather than simply using the results in this document. Resources used in conducting the analysis are denoted by a number in brackets. In addition, resources in the examples are color-coded (see image to the right) to denote the source of the data or resource used.

Estimating and Monetizing Benefits

The following analysis was performed to estimate and monetize the benefits for the project.

### Benefits: Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corridor length</td>
<td>10 Miles (both directions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corridor average annual PDO crashes</td>
<td>800 PDO Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corridor average annual injury crashes</td>
<td>190 Injury Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Corridor average annual fatality crashes</td>
<td>0.8 Fatal Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Average percent reduction of crashes using proposed strategy</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Estimated annual reduction of PDO crashes</td>
<td>176 PDO Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Estimated annual reduction of injury crashes</td>
<td>42 Injury Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Estimated annual reduction of fatal crashes</td>
<td>0.2 Fatal Crashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Estimated Safety Benefit</td>
<td>218 Crashes Reduced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average cost of a property damage only crash</td>
<td>$3,745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average cost of an injury collision per crash</td>
<td>$287,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average cost of a fatal collision per crash</td>
<td>$12,216,548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monetized Annual Safety Benefit = $14,828,000

### Benefits: Mobility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Peak veh-hours (3 hrs) travel time (AM &amp; PM)</td>
<td>400,000 AM &amp; PM Peak Hrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reduction in corridor travel time during peak hours (AM &amp; PM)</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Percent passenger vehicles (i.e., cars, SUVs, etc.)</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Percent trucks</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Average vehicle occupancy</td>
<td>1.7 Persons Per Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Estimated Mobility Benefit</td>
<td>204,000 Person-Hours Travel Time Savings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Est. Mobility Benefit = (peak travel time) x (reduction in travel time) x (average vehicle occupancy)
Passenger hourly value of delay time \([3]\) = $17.91 Per Person Per Hour

Commercial hourly value of delay time \([3]\) = $100.49 Per Person Per Hour

Monetized Annual Mobility Benefit = $5,338,000

**Benefits: Energy and Environment**

Estimated reduction of emissions and fuel consumption related to reduction of travel time and associated greenhouse gases and reduction of idle time. Therefore, energy and environmental benefits are derived from the mobility benefits, vehicle-hours travel time savings, calculated above.

**Fuel Reduction**

Veh-hours of travel time savings per year = 120,000 Vehicle-Hours

Average fuel consumption per hour of idle time \([4]\) = 0.17 Gallons per Hour

Average diesel fuel consumption per hour of idle time \([4]\) = 0.64 Gallons per Hour

Estimated Energy and Environment Benefit = 25,500 Gallons

**CO₂ Emission Reduction**

Average CO₂ emitted per gallon of gasoline burned \([6]\) = 0.0089 Metric Tons / Gallons

Average CO₂ emitted per gallon of diesel burned \([6]\) = 0.0102 Metric Tons / Gallons

Estimated Energy and Environment Benefit = 230 Metric Tons

**Monetized Annual Energy and Environment Benefit** = $89,000

**Estimating Costs**

The following analysis was performed to estimate costs for the managed lanes project. Project costs include direct capital costs (i.e., costs for infrastructure, software) and operations and maintenance costs as well as future lifecycle costs with an assumed base year of 2020.

When estimating costs, it was assumed that there is existing fiber and adequate closed-circuit television (CCTV) coverage along the proposed corridor. Capital costs were obtained from State DOT bid tabs \([10, 11]\). The costs used for the analysis were from 2019. To adjust the costs to 2020 dollars, an Inflation Factor was used. Annual operations and maintenance costs were assumed to be 10% of the capital costs for ITS components and 5% for roadway upgrades.
System Costs: Managed Lanes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System Component</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Capital (Unit)</th>
<th>Annual O&amp;M (Unit)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Software and Minor TMC Upgrades</td>
<td>Lump Sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$860,249</td>
<td>$86,025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard Shoulder Running - cantilever lane use control signs (LUCS) and debris detection cameras</td>
<td>Each</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$126,507</td>
<td>$12,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Lane Assignment - gantry LUCCS, VSL, and small DMS for Queue Warning</td>
<td>Each</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$303,617</td>
<td>$30,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Improvements - shoulder resurfacing, guardrail and emergency turn-outs</td>
<td>Lump Sum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$4,048,231</td>
<td>$202,412</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total System Costs = $22,113,459 $2,008,934

Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) and Return-on-Investment (ROI)

The annual monetized benefits and costs were used to calculate the BCR and ROI over a 10-year period. Capital costs were used for the first year and an annual O&M cost was applied for future years that accounted for inflation.

Benefits and costs for future years considered a discount rate of 7% starting in Year 2 (t=1). In the calculations below, the discount rate is applied to determine the present value (PV) for each year, Y1 (t=0) through Y10 (t=9). The discount rate recognizes that a dollar today is worth more than a dollar five years from now, even if there is no inflation because today's dollar can be used productively in the ensuing five years, yielding a value greater than the initial dollar. Future benefits and costs are discounted to reflect this fact.

Benefit-Cost Analysis: Managed Lanes

Annual Monetized Benefits:
- Safety  $14,828,000
- Mobility  $5,338,000
- Energy and Environment  $89,000
- Total Annual Benefit  $20,255,000

Total System Costs:
- Capital  $22,113,459
- Annual O&M  $2,008,934

Adjustment Rates:
- Real Discount Rate (i) 7%

Source: Kimley-Horn

Discount Rate Applied to Benefit and Costs

Costs adjusted to 2020 Dollars using Inflation Factor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Annual Monetized Benefit</th>
<th>PV Estimated Cost</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>PV Annual Monetized Benefit</th>
<th>PV Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>$20,255,000</td>
<td>$22,113,459</td>
<td>Y6</td>
<td>$14,411,535</td>
<td>$1,432,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>$18,929,907</td>
<td>$1,877,509</td>
<td>Y7</td>
<td>$13,496,762</td>
<td>$1,338,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>$17,691,501</td>
<td>$1,754,681</td>
<td>Y8</td>
<td>$12,613,796</td>
<td>$1,251,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>$16,354,113</td>
<td>$1,639,889</td>
<td>Y9</td>
<td>$11,788,594</td>
<td>$1,169,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y5</td>
<td>$15,452,443</td>
<td>$1,532,606</td>
<td>Y10</td>
<td>$11,017,378</td>
<td>$1,092,727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10-Year Monetized Benefits = $152,221,029
10-Year Estimated Costs = $35,202,134

10-Year Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR) = 4.3:1
10-Year Return on Investment (ROI) = 332%

Communicating the Results

Communicating the results of benefit-cost analysis provides an opportunity to prove the value of ITS deployments which can sometimes be difficult to demonstrate in a tangible way. It is important to consider the audience with whom the analysis results are being shared such that the information is relevant and relatable.
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