# 2000 Freeway Management Survey

Please use the enclosed map of your metropolitan area displaying the metropolitan planning area boundary established by your Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to answer the questions in this questionnaire.

(Map NOT Included Here)

### **BASELINE COVERAGE**

Please review the first column containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the second column or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

1a. Number of freeway centerline miles contained within the metropolitan transportation planning boundary that your agency owns or maintains:

1999 Response	2000 Response
Provided to Surveyee	

No Changes

1b. Number of freeway centerline miles contained within the metropolitan transportation planning boundary that your agency considers when planning for deployment of technologies for freeway management and incident management

1999 Response	2000 Response
Provided to Surveyee	

No Changes

1c. Number of freeway entrance ramps contained within the metropolitan transportation planning boundary that your agency owns, operates, or maintains

1999 Response	2000 Response
Provided to Surveyee	

No Changes

1d. Number of freeway entrance ramps contained within the metropolitan transportation planning boundary that your agency considers when planning for deployment of technologies for freeway management and incident management

1999 Response	2000 Response			
Provided to Surveyee				

## 2. Which of the following best describes the functional capabilities of your freeway management system? (Check all that apply)

Network or roadway surveillance and data collection Incident management (e.g., detection, verification and monitoring of incident status) Information dissemination (public, private and interagency) En-route driver information Environmental monitoring (e.g., air quality, noise and weather) Special event traffic management Disaster management and traffic coordination Emergency services traffic control coordination Ramp management and control Lane management and control (e.g., HOV, reversible or freeway lanes) Corridor management/traffic signal coordination or control Network performance monitoring, evaluation and reporting No Freeway or Incident management activities Other (please describe)

## 3. Which of the following best describes the type of facilities used to conduct arterial management and/or incident management activities by your agency?

### Building:

Free-standing building dedicated to Freeway Management activities only Building shared with other activities (e.g. transit, freeway management, public safety)

### Dedicated Control Room:

Control room contains operator console(s) Control room contains electronic wall map Control room contains CCTV display(s)

Freeway Management activities only Shared with other activities (e.g. transit, arterial management, public safety)

Activities conducted in a room containing workstations or PCs that manage traffic (i.e., traffic signal control equipment)

No Freeway Management Facilities

Other (please describe) and/or additional information

Hours of operation: 24 hours a day Peak hours only Other:

### 4. Staffing (Dedicated Freeway Management Staff)

	Professional engineer	Other professional	Technical	Administrative	Other
Full time agency staff					
Part time agency staff					
Full time contractor					
Part time contractor					

How many agency staff not listed above perform transportation management as an ancillary duty?

What percentage of their work time is spent on Freeway Management duties?

### REAL-TIME TRAFFIC DATA COLLECTION TECHNOLOGIES ON FREEWAYS

Please review the first column containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the second column or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

NOTE: The "2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

5. Total number of freeway centerline miles with real-time traffic data collection technologies (does not include CCTV):

Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005	
Provided to	Provided to			
Surveyee	Surveyee			

No Changes

### Real-time traffic data collection technologies deployed:

		Miles Covered			
	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005	
Loop detectors	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Video imaging detectors	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Probe readers using ETC tags	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Probe readers using other technology	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Probe readers for transit vehicles	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Acoustic detectors	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Microwave radar	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee			
Other(please specify):					

## VARIABLE MESSAGE SIGNS (VMS)

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## NOTE: The "2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 6. Number of VMSs

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
a. Total number of <b>Permanent</b> VMS deployed on arterials	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
b. Total number of <b>Portable</b> VMS deployed on arterials:	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		

No Changes

Do you have a regional multi-year traveler information system plan?

No

Yes

Are the VMS and FMS considered part of this plan?

Yes

No

Do you have established procedures and policies related to the messages that are displayed on VMS's?

No Yes

### Indicate what types of messages your agency displays on VMS. (Check all that apply)

Freeway traffic congestion Arterial traffic congestion Travel times for freeways Travel speeds for freeways Travel times for arterials Incident information Construction/Maintenance activities Alternate routing directions Alternate travel mode information Weather/Roadway conditions alerts Roadway access control (e.g., HOV, trucks) Parking information Upcoming event information Safety related messages Air quality alerts Other:

# ROADSIDE TECHNOLOGIES TO DISTRIBUTE EN-ROUTE TRAVELER INFORMATION

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## NOTE: The "2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 7. Number of miles where information is distributed by the following:

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
Highway advisory radio	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Other roadside technologies(please specify)				

No Changes

### RAMP METERS

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## NOTE: The "2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 8. Number of entrance ramp meters:

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
Number of isolated (or stand-alone) ramp	Provided to	Provided to		
meters	Surveyee	Surveyee		
Number of centrally controlled ramp meters	Provided to	Provided to		
	Surveyee	Surveyee		
Number of freeway to freeway ramp meters	Not Collected	Not Collected in		
	in 1999	1999		
Number of ramp meters that provide	Provided to	Provided to		
preemption for emergency vehicles	Surveyee	Surveyee		
Number of ramp meters that provide priority	Provided to	Provided to		
for transit vehicles	Surveyee	Surveyee		

### Ramp meter control strategy:

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
Number of pretimed ramp meters.	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Number of traffic responsive ramp meters	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Number of corridor coordinated ramp meters	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Number of system coordinated ramp meters	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Number of ramp meters installed but not operating	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		

No Changes

# LANE CONTROL, COMMUTE LANES, HOV LANES AND VARIABLE SPEED LIMIT SIGNS:

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## NOTE: The "2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 9. Lane control

	Deployed in	1999 Estimated	Deployed	2000 Estimated
	1999	Deployed by 2005	in 2000	Deployed by 2005
Total number of freeway centerline miles	Provided to	Provided to		
under lane control	Surveyee	Surveyee		
Number of reversible commute lanes	Not Collected	Not Collected in		
	in 1999	1999		
Number of miles of commute lanes.	Not Collected	Not Collected in		
	in 1999	1999		

No Changes

### Number miles of the following type of HOV lanes:

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 2000	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
Barrier separated	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Concurrent (non-barrier) flow lanes	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Buffer separated lanes	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Number of variable speed limit signs	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		

## 10. Does your agency have any technology agreements in place to establish and/or systematically maintain the ability to share information with other systems or agencies?

No Go to question 11

Yes

Please indicate the following components covered: (Check all that apply) Hardware standards and/or specifications (e.g. VMS, traffic controllers, CCTV, etc.) Software and/or specifications Database and data elements Communications protocol Configuration management Maintenance concept Testing and Acceptance

### USE OF NATIONAL ITS STANDARDS

Please review the first column containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the second column or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

### 11. National Standards

	Used in 1999	Used in 2000
Advanced Transportation Management Systems (ATMS) Data Dictionary	Provided to	
Sections 1 and 2 (ITE TM 1.01)	Surveyee	
ATMS Data Dictionary Sections 3 and 4 (ITE TM 1.02)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Message Set for External TMC Communication (ITE-9604-1)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
National Transportation Communications for ITS Protocol (NTCIP) Class	Provided to	
B Profile (AASHTO TS 3.3)	Surveyee	
NTCIP Data Collection and Monitoring Devices (AASHTO TS 3.DCM)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Environmental Sensor Stations (AASHTO TS	Provided to	
3.7)	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Dynamic Message Signs (AASHTO TS 3.6)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Highway Advisory Radio (AASHTO TS 3.HAR)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Ramp Meter Control (AASHTO TS 3.RMC)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Transportation Sensor Systems (AASHTO TS	Provided to	
3.TSS)	Surveyee	
NTCIP Object Definitions for Video Camera Control (AASHTO TS 3.VCC)	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
IEEE P1512 - Common Incident Management Message Sets for Use by	Not Collected	
Emergency Management Centers.	in 1999	
Do not use	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Other (please specify):		

#### 12. Would your agency be willing to participate in the testing of ITS standards?

No Go to question 13

Yes

Please provide name and phone number of contact if different from respondent.

NOTE: This information is not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 13a. Does your agency have a multi-year strategic plan that focuses on HIGHWAY TRAFFIC OPERATIONS?

No

Yes

### 13b. Does your agency have a multi-year strategic plan that focuses on FREEWAY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS?

No

Yes

Please indicate the following components: (Check all that apply)

- System goals, objectives, performance measures and thresholds
- System architecture and standards
- System hardware and software

Operational strategies, procedures, and plans

- System operational requirements and concepts
- System maintenance concept and plan
- Staffing and system support resources

Performance monitoring, evaluation, and reporting

Multi-year implementation plan to expand or upgrade system components:

- System management software
- System support
- Communication network
- TMC
- Traffic control devices
- Surveillance devices

## DATA COLLECTION AND ARCHIVING

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## *NOTE: The "2000 Estimated by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.*

### 14a. Data collection

Type of information collected in real-time	Collected in 1999	1999 Plan to Collect by 2005	Collect in 2000	2000 Plan to Collect by 2005
Traffic volumes	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Traffic speeds	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Lane occupancy	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Vehicle classification	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Vehicle location	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Ramp queues	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Ramp meter preemptions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Metering rate	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Road conditions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Weather conditions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Incidents	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		

No Changes

Collected in 1999	1999 Plan to Collect by 2005	Collect in 2000	2000 Plan to Collect by 2005
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
	in 1999 Provided to Surveyee Provided to Surveyee Provided to Surveyee Provided to Surveyee Provided to Surveyee Provided to Surveyee Not Collected in 1999	in 1999Collect by 2005Provided to SurveyeeProvided to SurveyeeNot Collected in 1999Not Collected in 1999	in 1999Collect by 2005in 2000Provided to SurveyeeProvided to SurveyeeNot Collected in 1999Not Collected in 1999

### 14b. Data archiving

Type of information archived from	Archived	1999 Plan to	Archive	2000 Plan to
real-time sources	in 1999	Archive by 2005	in 2000	Archive by 2005
Traffic volumes	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Traffic speeds	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Lane occupancy	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Vehicle classification	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Vehicle location	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Ramp queues	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Ramp meter preemptions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Metering rate	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Road conditions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Weather conditions	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Incidents	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		

No Changes

Type of information archived from other sources	Archived in 1999	1999 Plan to Archive by 2005	Archive in 2000	2000 Plan to Archive by 2005
Route designations (snow emergency, etc.)	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Current work zones	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Scheduled work zones	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Intermodal (air, rail, water) connections	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Emergency/evacuation routes and procedures	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Highway operations coordination information	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Vehicle occupancy	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Violation rates for HOV lanes	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Other (please specify)				
Do not archive information				

No Changes

### 14c. Groups that typically request data

	1999 Response	2000 Response
Universities	Provided to Surveyee	
State DOT personnel	Provided to Surveyee	
Federal DOT personnel	Provided to Surveyee	
Media (e.g., TV stations, radio stations)	Provided to Surveyee	
MPOs	Provided to Surveyee	
Consultants	Provided to Surveyee	
Advanced Traveler Information Systems (ATIS) providers	Provided to Surveyee	
Other (please specify)		
None		

#### 14d. Data uses

	1999 Response	2000 Response
Do not know	Provided to Surveyee	
Traffic analysis	Provided to Surveyee	
Construction impact determination	Provided to Surveyee	
Planning	Provided to Surveyee	
Incident detection algorithm development	Provided to Surveyee	
Roadway impact analysis	Provided to Surveyee	
Accident prediction models	Provided to Surveyee	
Dissemination to the public	Provided to Surveyee	
Traffic Management	Provided to Surveyee	
Monitor system performance	Provided to Surveyee	
Other (please specify)		

No Changes

### FREEWAY TRAVEL TIMES, TRAVEL SPEEDS, INCIDENTS

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

## *NOTE: The "2000 Estimated by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.*

### 15a. Methods used to distribute freeway travel times to the public.

	Distributed in 1999	1999 Plan to Distribute by 2005	Distribute in 2000	2000 Plan to Distribute by 2005
Dedicated cable TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Automated telephone system	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Internet Web sites	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Pagers or personal data assistants	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Interactive TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Kiosks	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
E-mail or other direct PC communication	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
In-vehicle navigation systems	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated voice	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated data	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Facsimile	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Other (please specify)				
Do not distribute information	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		

15b. Methods used to distribute freeway travel speeds to the public.

	Distributed	1999 Plan to	Distribute	2000 Plan to
	in 1999	Distribute by 2005	in 2000	Distribute by 2005
Dedicated cable TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Automated telephone system	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Internet Web sites	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Pagers or personal data assistants	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Interactive TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Kiosks	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
E-mail or other direct PC communication	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
In-vehicle navigation systems	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated voice	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated data	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Facsimile	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Other (please specify)				
Do not distribute information	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		

No Changes

### 15c. Methods used to distribute freeway incident location and severity to the public.

	Distributed	1999 Plan to	Distribute	2000 Plan to
	in 1999	Distribute by 2005	in 2000	Distribute by 2005
Dedicated cable TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Automated telephone system	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Internet Web sites	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Pagers or personal data assistants	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Interactive TV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Kiosks	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
E-mail or other direct PC communication	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
In-vehicle navigation systems	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated voice	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell phone/automated data	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Facsimile	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Other (please specify)				
Do not distribute information	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		

15d. Methods used to distribute other information to the public (e.g., weather, special events). This information was not collected in 1999.

	Distribute in 2000	2000 Plan to Distribute by 2005
Dedicated cable TV		
Automated telephone system		
Internet Web sites		
Pagers or personal data assistants		
Interactive TV		
Kiosks		
E-mail or other direct PC communication		
In-vehicle navigation systems		
Cell phone/automated voice		
Cell phone/automated data		
Facsimile		
Other (please specify)		
Do not distribute information		
No Changes		

If your agency or another organization has one or more World Wide Web sites reporting arterial conditions and/ or incident information, please provide the URLs below:

16. Toll collection agencies from which your agency currently receives freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes:

	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Agency 2 <sup>*</sup>	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Agency 3 <sup>*</sup>	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided to	
	Surveyee	
Other (please specify)		
Do not receive freeway travel times derived from vehicle probes		

\*Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS.

17. SENDING INFORMATION: The following information determines the extent to which your freeway management agency is integrated with other transportation agencies in your metropolitan area. Integration can occur through the sending of information to these agencies (i.e., your agency transmits freeway travel time, speed, and/or condition data to an agency in real-time via electronic means), through the sharing of infrastructure with any agency (e.g., building, computer system, communication lines), or through coordinated operations with another agency (e.g., jointly developing a common control strategy). Please review the first column of each category which contains information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the second column of each category or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

Freeway Management Agencies:	Provide re freew conditio	ay	Provide time inc informati	ident	Shar infrastru with	cture	Coord operatio	
	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency 2*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Other (please specify)								

\*Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS. No Changes

Arterial Management Agencies:	Provide re freew conditio	/ay	Provide time inc informati	ident	Shar infrastru with	cture	Coord operatio	
	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency 2*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Other (please specify)								

\*Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS. No Changes

Public Transit Agencies:	Provide re freew conditio	ay	Provide time inc informati	ident	Shar infrastru with	cture	Coord operatio	
	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency 2*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Other (please specify)								

\*Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS.

No Changes

Public Safety Agencies:	Provide re freew conditio	/ay	Provide real- time incident information to:		Share infrastructure with:		Coordinate operation with:	
	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency 2*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided		Provided		Provided		Provided	
	to		to		to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee		Surveyee	
Other (please specify)								

\*Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS. No Changes

## SERVICE PATROLS

### 18. Type of service patrol vehicles

Please review the first column(s) containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next column(s) or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

	1999 Response	2000 Response
Publicly operated service patrol vehicles	Provided to Surveyee	
Privately operated service patrol vehicles	Provided to Surveyee	
operated under public contract		
None of the above	Provided to Surveyee	

NOTE: The "2000 Estimated by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

	Deployed in 1999	1999 Estimated Deployed by 2005	Deployed in 1999	2000 Estimated Deployed by 2005
Total number of freeway miles patrolled by these services	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Total number of vehicles operated	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		

No Changes

### **INCIDENT DETECTION**

Please review the first two columns containing information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the next two columns or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

NOTE: The "2000 Estimated by 2005" figures and selection information are not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 19a. Incident detection methods

		Miles Covered by M	y Method			
Method	Coverage	1999 Estimated	Coverage	2000 Estimated		
	in 1999	Coverage by 2005	in 2000	Coverage by 2005		
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
Free cellular phone call to an area radio	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
Cell call to 911	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999				
Cellular E-911 (allows locating cell caller)	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999				
Service patrol and/or maintenance vehicles	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999				
Police patrols	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
Computer algorithms	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
CCTV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
Private sector sources (e.g., Metro Traffic, SmartRoutes)	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee				
Call boxes	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999				
Other (please specify)						
Do not detect incidents						

### 19b. Incident verification methods

		Miles Covered by M	lethod	
Method	Coverage in 1999	1999 Estimated Coverage by 2005	Coverage in 2000	2000 Estimated Coverage by 2005
Free cellular phone call to a dedicated phone number other than 911	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Free cellular phone call to an area radio	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Cell call to 911	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Cellular E-911 (allows locating cell caller)	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Service patrol and/or maintenance vehicles	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Police patrols	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Computer algorithms	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
CCTV	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Private sector sources (e.g., Metro Traffic, SmartRoutes)	Provided to Surveyee	Provided to Surveyee		
Call boxes	Not Collected in 1999	Not Collected in 1999		
Other (please specify)				
Do not verify incidents				

No Changes

#### 20. Do you have a formal multi-agency Incident Management program in your region?

No Go to question 21

Yes

- Please provide the following information:
  - a. What are the components of the program (Check all that apply)
    - Program goals, objectives, performance measures and thresholds
      - Legislation
    - Agency agreements and policies
    - Training
    - ITS deployment
    - Operational plans and procedures
    - Performance monitoring and evaluation
    - Area incident response teams
    - Specific incident response
    - Other (Please specify)
  - b. Are there geographic boundaries of this program?
    Yes
    No
    - NO
  - c. Is it limited to only freeways/expressways?
    - Yes
    - No
  - d. Is there a multi-year IM program plan that provides the direction of the regional IM program and future initiatives to be undertaken related to all of the identified components of the program?
    - Yes
    - No

21. RECEIVING INFORMATION: Public safety agencies from which your agency receives in real-time incident status and/or incident severity information. Please review the first column of each category which contains information your agency provided in 1999. Enter any changes for 2000 in the second column of each category or check the box at the bottom if there are no changes.

Freeway Management Agencies:	Receive real-time incident status information from:		Receive real-time incident severity information from:	
	1999	2000	1999	2000
Agency 1*	Provided		Provided	
	to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency 2*	Provided		Provided	
	to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee	
	Provided		Provided	
	to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee	
Agency N*	Provided		Provided	
	to		to	
	Surveyee		Surveyee	
Other (please specify)				
Do not receive information				

<sup>\*</sup>Name of agency provided to surveyee based on surveyee's responses to the 1999 ITS DTS. No Changes

## NATIONAL ITS ARCHITECTURE

#### 22. Have any members of your staff attended USDOT-sponsored National ITS Architecture training courses? Yes

No

If not, why not? (check as many as apply)

We are unaware of the availability USDOT-sponsored architecture training courses. We are aware of such training, but have no funding to support staff participation. We plan to send a member of our staff to the training courses within the year. We are aware of such training, but it is not a priority to send staff to participate. Other (please specify)

Don't Know

### 23. Is your agency involved in an organized effort to develop a regional ITS architecture?

Yes

If yes, what is the status of the regional architecture?

Our region has a fully developed regional ITS architecture undergoing continuing development and updating.

Our regional ITS architecture is under initial development

No

If not, why not?

There is no such effort under way in our region. Go to question 28

There is such an effort in our region, but we are not involved with it. Go to question 28

Don't know if my agency is involved with architecture development. Go to question 28

24. If you answered yes to question 23, what other agencies are involved, and which one is the lead for the effort? Check the type(s) of agency involved in the effort (*Do not check your own agency type unless there is another agency of your type that is involved with the effort*.)Circle the agency that is leading the regional architecture effort (*If you are the lead agency, circle your agency type; if it is also checked, we will know that you are the lead and there is another agency of your type involved in the effort.*)

State department of transportation County highway authority(s) City transportation department(s) Transit property(s) Rail agency MPO Fire department(s) Local police department(s) State police/Highway patrol Other emergency services provider(s) (please specify)

Toll authority(s) Airport authority Other port authority (please specify)

Freight shippers (private sector) Traveler information service providers (private sector) Other (please specify)

Don't know

### 25. What is the nature of the regional architecture?

Encompasses a single county Encompasses more than one county Encompasses entire state Encompasses a corridor Don't know

### 26. Have you attempted to develop project architectures within your regional architecture? If so, how many?

Yes

Number:

### No Don't Know

### 27. How long has your agency been involved with the region's architecture development effort?

Less than one year One to two years Longer than two years Don't know

#### 28. Has any organization provided you with information concerning architecture development activities?

No

Go to question 29

#### Don't know

Go to question 29

Yes

Please indicate which organization and check if the information was useful.

Organization	Check if Information Was Received	Check if Information Was Useful
FHWA		
ITS America State Chapter		
FTA		
ITS America (national)		
APTA		
ITE		
AASHTO		
APA		
AMPO		
Other (please specify)		

### COST AND BENEFIT INFORMATION

29. Is your agency willing to share COST information on ITS-related equipment (i.e., capital and O&M cost, and brief equipment description)? This information will be used to update the ITS JPO sponsored ITS unit cost database. This database provides ITS cost data for ITS implementation and is accessible at the following URL: <a href="http://www.its.dot.gov/eval/itsbenefits.htm">http://www.its.dot.gov/eval/itsbenefits.htm</a>.

No

Yes

Please provide name and phone number of the cost information contact if different from respondent. This person will be contacted for the cost information at a later date.

NOTE: This information is not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

### 30. Is your agency willing to share information on BENEFITS from ITS deployment?

No Yes

Please provide name and phone number of the benefits information contact if different from respondent.

#### NOTE: This information is not included in the companion Excel Spreadsheets.

- 31. If there is anything else you want to tell us about any ITS efforts in your agency, please use this space for that purpose. Also, any comments you wish to make that you think may help us in future efforts to track ITS deployment will be appreciated, either here or in a separate letter.
- 32. Your contribution to this effort is greatly appreciated. If you would like to receive a copy of your metropolitan area report and the national summary report, please indicate below.
  - Yes, send a copy of the reports to me.
  - No, do not send a copy of the reports to me.